

# PSM 1 EVALUATION 1 : SUPERVISOR

CLO1-30%



		COMPETENCY SCALE								
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Weak	Very Weak	Fail	Weightage	Mark Given	FINAL 30%
NUM	COMPETENCY	5	4	3	2	1	0			
PROJECT PROPOSAL										
CLO1	INTRODUCTION - explanation should consist of domain background, importance of the subject and current issues according to proposed topic	Clearly written with all necessary elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily written with most necessary elements	<in between>	Poorly written and missing most necessary elements	No explanation	0.6	5	3.00
CLO1	PROBLEM STATEMENT - explanation of problem should be related to the domain / knowledge or solution gap	Clearly stated and explained with supporting citation	<in between>	Stated with explanation without supporting citation	<in between>	Poorly stated with insufficient or irrelevant explanation	Problem not stated	1	5	5.00
CLO1	OBJECTIVE - MUST be 3 Objectives (reflecting SMART concept) and must be aligned with Problem Statement	All objectives are clearly stated reflecting SMART concept and aligned with problem statement	<in between>	Objectives are stated and aligned with problem statement but not all reflecting SMART concept	<in between>	Objectives are poorly stated	No objectives	0.6	5	3.00
CLO1	SCOPE - must be related to the project	Clearly defined incorporating all necessary elements	<in between>	Partially defined incorporating some of the elements	<in between>	Insufficiently defined with many missing elements	Scope not defined	0.6	5	3.00
CLO1	LITERATURE REVIEW (RELEVANCE AND DESCRIPTION) - Minimum 3 related work relevant to the project must be compared with clear and relevant description. - Comparison on existing systems / proposed techniques must be relevant and reflecting to PROBLEM STATEMENT - Description should be clear for THREE system/method/ techniques/ algorithm.	Comprehensive description of three related works demonstrating understanding of the topics	<in between>	Satisfactory description of three related works demonstrating partial understanding of the topics	<in between>	Insufficient description of related works and does not demonstrate understanding of the topics	No literature review	1.2	5	6.00
CLO1	LITERATURE REVIEW (WORK ANALYSIS) - Analysis of comparison on previous system/method - Critical analysis should highlight on features/strength/ weakness/ advantage/ disadvantage	All related works are critically analysed with supporting citations	<in between>	Related works are partially analysed with supporting citations	<in between>	Insufficiently analysed.	No literature review	0.8	5	4.00
CLO1	PROJECT/RESEARCH LIFECYCLE - should describe on the implementation of project/research lifecycle  Project Based: SDLC (Agile,RAD etc)  Research Based: Research Framework/ Model/ Methodology/ Research Activities	The described lifecycle is practical and applicable to the project	<in between>	The described lifecycle is somewhat practical and applicable	<in between>	The described lifecycle is neither practical nor applicable to the project	No description	0.8	5	4.00
CLO1	REFERENCE -Minimum 5 references related to the project must be stated. - Facts are properly cited with correct reference in the proposal content - Must follow the proposal format	All references provided are related to the content and correctly formatted	<in between>	Some references are not related to the content but mostly in correct format	<in between>	All references are not related to the content	No references	0.4	5	2.00

**30.00**